Synergistic interaction of novel lactate dehydrogenase inhibitors with gemcitabine against pancreatic cancer cells in hypoxia
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Background: Hypoxia is a driving force in pancreatic-ductal-adenocarcinoma (PDAC) growth, metastasis and chemoresistance. The muscle-isoform of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH-A) constitutes a major checkpoint for the switch to anaerobic glycolysis, ensuring supply of energy and anabolites in hypoxic-environments. Therefore, we investigated the molecular mechanisms underlying the pharmacological interaction of novel LDH-A inhibitors in combination with gemcitabine in PDAC cells.

Methods: Lactate dehydrogenase A levels were studied by quantitative RT–PCR, western blot, immunofluorescence and activity assays in 14 PDAC cells, including primary-cell-cultures and spheroids, in normoxic and hypoxic conditions. Cell proliferation, migration and key determinants of drug activity were evaluated by sulforhodamine-B-assay, wound-healing assay, PCR and LC-MS/MS.

Results: Lactate dehydrogenase A was significantly increased under hypoxic conditions (1% O2), where the novel LDH-A inhibitors proved to be particularly effective (e.g., with IC50 values of 0.9 vs 16.3 μM for NHI-1 in LPC006 in hypoxia vs normoxia, respectively). These compounds induced apoptosis, affected invasiveness and spheroid-growth, reducing expression of metalloproteinases and cancer-stem-like-cells markers (CD133+). Their synergistic interaction with gemcitabine, with combination index values <0.4 in hypoxia, might also be attributed to modulation of gemcitabine metabolism, overcoming the reduced synthesis of phosphorylated metabolites.

Conclusion: Lactate dehydrogenase A is a viable target in PDAC, and novel LDH-A inhibitors display synergistic cytotoxic activity with gemcitabine, offering an innovative tool in hypoxic tumours.

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death, with 5-year survival rate below 5% (Siegel et al, 2012). The main reasons of this poor prognosis include invasive behaviour and multifactorial chemoresistance. These reasons might be at least in part explained by the key role of hypoxia in PDAC, as documented by its profound and characteristic avascular, hypodense appearance on contrast-enhanced computerised tomography, as well as by the low pO2 levels, of 0–5.3 mm Hg, whereas adjacent areas of normal pancreatic tissue have pO2 levels of 24–92.7 mm Hg (Duffy et al, 2003). Hypoxia acts as a driving force in PDAC abnormal proliferation and metastatic behaviour, promoting the ingrowth of immature, architecturally deranged, and highly permeable blood vessels that facilitate the passage of tumour cells into the circulation (Koong et al, 2000; Harris, 2002; Chang et al, 2011). Furthermore, hypoxia has been associated with resistance of cancer cells to radiotherapy and
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Drugs and chemicals. The LDH-A inhibitors NHI-1 and NHI-2 (Figure 1B) were synthesised at the Department of Pharmacy, University of Pisa, Italy, as described previously (Granchi et al, 2011a, 2013). Gemcitabine was provided by Eli Lilly Corporation (Indianapolis, IN, USA). The LDH-A inhibitors and gemcitabine were dissolved in DMSO and sterile water, respectively. The medium, foetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin (50 IU ml⁻¹) and streptomycin (50 μg ml⁻¹) were from Gibco (Gaithersburg, MD, USA). All other chemicals were from Sigma (Zwijndrecht, the Netherlands).

Cell cultures. Seven PDAC cell lines, and the human immortalised pancreatic duct epithelial-like cells hTER-HPNE were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA), while seven primary PDAC cultures were isolated as described previously (Giovannetti et al, 2010). Cell lines were tested for their authentication by STR–PCR, performed by BaseClear (Leiden, the Netherlands).

The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were kept in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 95% air at 37°C and harvested with trypsin-EDTA. During the experiments in hypoxic conditions the cells were maintained at O₂ tension of 1%, 5% (vol/vol) CO₂, and 94% (vol/vol) N₂ at 37°C, using a specific incubator IncuSafe Jacomex Glove Box (Labo Equipment Sanyo, Loughborough, UK).

Quantitative reverse-transcriptase PCR (qRT–PCR). RNAs were extracted using the QIAamp RNA MicroKit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), while cDNAs were synthesised using the DyNAmo cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific, Vantaa, Finland). The qRT–PCRs were executed with specific TaqMan primers and probes, as described in the Supplementary Methods.

Western blot. In order to evaluate the modulation of LDH-A and HIF-1z protein expression under normoxic and hypoxic conditions, Panc-1 and LPC006 were cultured for 72 h and western blotting was performed as described earlier (Avan et al, 2012). Briefly, 30 μg of proteins was separated on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and transferred onto PVDF membrane (Immobilon-FL, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The membrane was blocked with Rockland (Rockland Inc., Boyertown, PA, USA), and incubated overnight with rabbit anti-LDH-A (1:1000; Epitomics, Burlingame, CA, USA), rabbit anti-HIF-1z (1:250; Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), and mouse anti-β-actin (1:10 000; Sigma). Additional studies evaluated the expression of E2F1, as described previously (Giovannetti et al, 2008).

Inhibition of LDH-A expression by siRNA anti-LDH-A. A specific siRNA against transcripts of LDH-A was purchased from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA, USA; s229664, AmbionSilencer). The sequences of sense and antisense strands of siRNA were 5’-GUA-UCC-UAA-UAA-AGG-ACU-UU-3’ and 5’-AAG-UCU-CUU-CAU-UAA-GAU-ACtg-3’, respectively.

Lipofectamine (Life Technologies, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) was used as transfection solution, as described in the Supplementary Methods.

LDH-A enzymatic activity. The inhibition of LDH-A enzymatic activity by NHI compounds, in cells treated with 10 μM NHI-1 or NHI-2 under normoxic and hypoxic conditions, was performed...
by means of spectrophotometric measurement of oxidation of NADH via reduction of pyruvate to lactate, as described in the Supplementary Methods.

**Growth inhibition studies.** To evaluate the cell growth inhibitory effects of NHI compounds, gemcitabine, and their combinations under normoxic and hypoxic conditions, the sulforhodamine-B (SRB) assay was performed in PANC-1 and LPC006 cells. The cells were treated with NHI compounds at fixed concentration of 1 μM (in hypoxia) or 10 μM (in normoxia) simultaneously with gemcitabine (1–500 nM). The rationale to investigate only the simultaneous and not sequential exposure was based on a recent study showing that the treatment of PDAC cells with the histone deacetylase inhibitor belinostat affected hypoxia signalling and interacted synergistically with gemcitabine using a simultaneous treatment (Chien et al, 2013). After 72 h, plates were processed for the SRB assay (Giovannetti et al, 2011).

**Analysis of cell cycle and measurement of cell death.** In order to investigate the effect of NHI compounds on perturbation of cell cycle, PANC-1 and LPC006 cells were treated for 72 h with gemcitabine at IC50 values, 1 or 10 μM NHI compounds, and their combination with gemcitabine, under hypoxic and normoxic conditions. Cells were stained by propidium iodide (PI) and cell-cycle modulation was evaluated using a FACScalibur (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA).

To further evaluate S-phase entry we performed 5-bromo-2′-deoxy-uridine (BrDU) incorporation assay. During the last hour of culture, cells were pulsed with 10 μM BrDU. Then, they were incubated in denaturing solution (2 M HCl) for 20 min, washed in 0.1 M sodium borate, and stained with anti-BrdU antibody (1:50; Harlan, Hillcrest, UK) in dilution buffer (PBS, 0.5% Tween-20, 0.5% BSA) for 20 min. Finally, the cells were counterstained with PI for flow cytometry analysis, using ModFit 3.2 (Verity-Software-House, Topsham, ME, USA).

N-hydroxyindole-based inhibitors, gemcitabine and their combination were also characterised for their ability to induce cell death using the Annexin-V/PI assay, as described in the Supplementary Methods. Moreover, cells displaying apoptotic features were analysed by fluorescence microscopy with bisbenzimide staining, as described previously (Giovannetti et al, 2005). Cells treated as described above were washed with PBS and fixed in 4% buffered paraformaldehyde. Then, cells were resuspended, incubated for 15 minutes in 8 μg/ml −1 bisbenzimide HCl and examined by fluorescence microscopy (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). A total of 200 cells were counted and the percentage of cells displaying chromatin condensation and nuclear fragmentation relative to the total number of counted cells was calculated and reported as Apoptotic Index.

**Evaluation of pharmacological interaction of NHI compounds with gemcitabine.** The median-drug effect analysis method was employed for evaluating the interaction of NHI-1 and NHI-2 with gemcitabine (Bijnensdorp et al, 2011). The cell growth inhibition of the combination was compared with the cell growth inhibition of each drug alone using the combination index (CI), as described in the Supplementary Methods.

**Activity of NHI compounds and gemcitabine in multicellular spheroids.** PANC-1 and LPC006 spheroids were generated by seeding 10 000 cells per ml in DMEM/F12 + GlutaMAX-I (1 : 1) with insulin-transferrin-selenium (1 : 1000, Invitrogen), in ultra-low attachment plates (Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA). Spheroids were generated for 10–14 days, and then harvested for RNA isolation, immunofluorescence and growth inhibition studies.

After checking their growth rate and stability, PANC-1 and LPC006 spheroids were treated with 1 μM of NHI compounds, IC50 values of gemcitabine and their combination for 72 h. The cytotoxic effects were evaluated by measuring the size and number of spheroids with the Leica-DM1300B microscope (Leica Microsystems). Spheroid volume (V) was calculated from the geometric mean of the perpendicular diameters $D = \left( {D_{\text{max}} + D_{\text{min}}}/2 \right)$, ($V = (4/3) \times \pi (D/2)^2$).

**LDH-A immunofluorescence.** LDH-A expression was evaluated in spheroids seeded in eight-well chamber slides (Lab-Tek-II Chamber Slide System, Nalge-Nunc, Naperville, IL, USA), fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS, embedded in paraffin and sectioned. Seven-millimeter sections were stained for fluorescence detection using the anti-LDH-A antibody described above (at 1:200 dilution), probed with AlexaFluor488 (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) secondary antibody. Sections were digitally imaged at ×40, using a computerised high-resolution acquisition system (D-Sight, Menarini, Florence, Italy), equipped with DS-I0.2.1.0.

**Migration and invasion assays.** As previous findings demonstrated that hypoxia induced faster locomotion and a higher percentage of migrating PDAC cells (Salnikov et al, 2002), we evaluated whether NHI compounds might affect migration and invasion. For the migration assay the cells were plated at a density of 2 × 104 cells per well, and 24 h after incubation under hypoxic conditions, artificial wound tracks were created as described previously (Avan et al, 2012). The cells were exposed to 1 μM NHI compounds while combination experiments were performed by adding 1 μM gemcitabine. Transwell chambers with polycarbonate membranes and 8 μm pores were used for invasion assays as described in the Supplementary Methods.

**Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) measurement of phosphorylated gemcitabine.** Analysis by LC-MS/MS was used to determine the total phosphorylated gemcitabine nucleosides, which were calculated from the difference before and after alkaline phosphatase treatment, in the Supplementary Methods.

**Statistical analysis.** All experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated at least twice. Data were expressed as mean values ± s.e. and analysed by Student’s t-test or ANOVA followed by the Tukey’s multiple comparison test. The level of significance was P < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

LDH-A is overexpressed in pancreatic cancer cells and is modulated by hypoxia. The mRNA expression of LDH-A and HIF-1α was evaluated by quantitative RT–PCR in all the pancreatic cancer cells, as well as in the originator tissues of the primary tumour cell cultures. Lactate dehydrogenase A expression values differed among cells, ranging from 35.1 arbitrary unit (a.u.) in LPC006 cells to 138.9 a.u. in LPC028 cells (Figure 2A). The mean expression in the tumour cells (73.6 ± 53.6 a.u.) was significantly higher than that in the normal hTERT-HPNE cells (1.2 ± 0.2 a.u.; P < 0.01). Notably, LDH-A expression values in the seven primary tumour cells and in their laser-microdissected originator tumours showed a similar pattern and were highly correlated with Spearman analysis ($R^2 = 0.9, P < 0.01$).

PANC-1, LPC006, LPC028 and LPC167 cells were selected for further studies due to their levels of LDH-A expression, which were representative of high, low, extremely high and median mRNA values, respectively.

After 72 h culture in 1%O2 hypoxic conditions the expression of LDH-A increased twofold in PANC-1, LPC006 and LPC067 cells, whereas we observed only a slightly increase of LDH-A gene expression in LPC028 cells, as compared with normoxic conditions (Figure 2B). The expression of LDH-A was further investigated at protein level in PANC-1 and LPC006 cells (Figure 2C).
In agreement with mRNA levels, LDH-A protein expression was higher in PANC-1 than in LPC006 cells. However, in both models LDH-A expression was increased in hypoxia, in parallel with the expression of HIF-1α. Conversely, treatment of the cells with 4 μM siRNA anti-LDH-A caused a marked reduction of LDH-A expression at both mRNA and protein levels (Figure 2C and D), partially overcoming the induction by hypoxia in PANC-1 and LPC006 cells.

The modulation of LDH-A expression was reflected by similar changes in LDH-A activity, as determined by a specific spectro-photometric assay through oxidation of NADH. The basal LDH-A activity values of 0.0986 and 0.0624 μmol lactate per min per mg protein in PANC-1 and LPC006 cells were increased in hypoxic conditions, whereas siRNA anti-LDH-A reverted the LDH-A activity to the levels detected in normoxia, as exemplified by the Figure 2E. In particular, in hypoxic conditions the amount of NADH that was consumed after 20 min increased by 71 and 82%, in PANC-1 and LPC006 cells, respectively (P<0.05).

NHI compounds target LDH-A activity. To determine their inhibition potencies and isomeric selectivities NHI compounds were assayed on LDH-A and LDH-B purified isozymes, in competition experiments vs both the cofactor (NADH) and the substrate (pyruvate), as described previously (Granchi et al., 2013). The IC50 values showed a similar selectivity, with a three- to fivefold LDH-A/LDH-B selectivity, associated to both NHI-1 and NHI-2, as reported in Supplementary Table 1.

Then, we evaluated the effects of the NHI compounds on LDH enzymatic activity of -A in PANC-1 and LPC006 cells treated with 10 μM NHI-1 or NHI-2, under normal and hypoxic conditions. These treatments significantly reduced the consumption rate of NADH in both PANC-1 and LPC006, mostly in cell homogenates collected in hypoxic condition, compared with that in untreated cells. NHI-1 was more effective than NHI-2 in both hypoxic and normoxic conditions. NHI-1 and NHI-2 reduced LDH activity in PANC-1 cells in hypoxic condition up to four- and twofold compared with controls. Similar effects were observed in the LPC006 cells (Figure 3A).

The cytotoxicity of NHI compounds is enhanced in hypoxic condition. Cell growth inhibitory effects of the NHI compounds were evaluated under normal and hypoxic conditions in PANC-1 and LPC006 cells. As detailed in Table 1, treatment of these PDAC cells with NHI-1 and NHI-2 showed a large variation, with the lowest growth inhibition rates in PANC-1 cells. LPC006 cells were also more sensitive in hypoxia, with IC50 values of 0.9 and 1.1 μM, for NHI-1 and NHI-2, respectively. The novel LDH-A inhibitors proved to be much more effective in hypoxia (e.g., IC50 values of 1.3 and 4.0 μM for NHI-1 and NHI-2 in PANC-1 cells). LPC006 cells were more sensitive in hypoxia, with IC50 values of 0.9 and 1.1 μM, for NHI-1 and NHI-2, respectively. The key role of the modulation of LDH-A in the cytotoxicity of NHI compounds was further demonstrated by their reduced activity in PDAC cells exposed at nontoxic concentrations of a specific anti-LDH-A-siRNA, as shown in the Figure 3B.

Importantly, in the same PDAC models gemcitabine was highly cytotoxic, with IC50 values of 17.9 and 7.2 nM in normoxia,
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Figure 3. Effects of NHI compounds in PDAC cells. (A) Modulation of LDH-A activity by 72-h exposure to NHI compounds in PANC-1 and LPC006 cells in normoxia and hypoxia; *P < 0.05 vs control cells in normoxia, #P < 0.05 vs control cells in hypoxia. (B) Representative growth curves of LPC006 cells treated for 72 h with NHI-1 under normoxic and hypoxic conditions, with and without transfection with 4 \mu M siRNA anti-LDH-A; Points, mean values obtained from three independent experiments; bars, s.e.m. (C) Effect of 1 \mu M NHI-1 or NHI-2 on the volumes of PDAC spheroids; *P < 0.05 vs control cells; inset, representative pictures of LPC006 spheroids and immunofluorescence staining for LDH-A. (D) Results of wound-healing assay in LPC006 cells exposed to 1 \mu M NHI-1 or NHI-2, in hypoxia; *P < 0.05 vs control cells. (E) Results of invasion studies in LPC006 cells exposed for 24 h to 1 \mu M NHI-1 or NHI-2, in hypoxia; *P < 0.05 vs control cells; (F) Modulation of CD133 and EZH2 expression in spheroids from LPC006 cells and modulation of MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression in LPC006 cells, exposed to 1 \mu M NHI-1 or NHI-2, for 72 h, under hypoxia. Columns, mean values obtained from three independent experiments. Bars, s.e.m. *P < 0.05 vs cells growing as monolayers in normoxic conditions.

Table 1. Cell growth inhibitory effects and pharmacological interaction of NHI compounds and gemcitabine, in normoxic and hypoxic conditions in PANC-1 and LPC006 cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells</th>
<th>Condition/Drug</th>
<th>NHI-1</th>
<th>NHI-2</th>
<th>Gemcitabine</th>
<th>NHI-1 + Gemcitabine</th>
<th>Pharmacological interaction results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PANC-1</td>
<td>Normoxia</td>
<td>18.2 ± 3.7</td>
<td>22.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>17.9 ± 1.3 \mu M</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.12</td>
<td>Synergism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>13.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.04 \mu M</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.06</td>
<td>Strong synergism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPC006</td>
<td>Normoxia</td>
<td>16.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>17.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.3 \mu M</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.18</td>
<td>Slight synergism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.03 \mu M</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.53</td>
<td>Strong synergism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IC_{50} value: 50% growth inhibitory concentration.

Whereas IC_{50} values were increased up to 11- and 20-fold under hypoxia, in PANC-1 and LPC006 cells, respectively.

NHI compounds reduce the size of PDAC spheroids and downregulate CD133 and EZH2 expression. Previous studies showed that three-dimensional (3D) culture models are generally more chem- and radio-resistant than two-dimensional monolayer cell cultures, supporting the use of these models for drug testing (Padron et al, 2000; Longati et al, 2013). Moreover, the use of serum-free cancer-stem cell medium should select a population of PDAC cells harbouring CSCs characteristics (Avan et al, 2013), as well as cells with higher stability of HIF1-alpha and increased lactate accumulation (Longati et al, 2013).

Thus, in order to determine whether NHI compounds would be active in 3D systems, we tested these drugs in spheroids of PANC-1 and LPC006 cells that were below 500 \mu m in diameter. These growing spheroids showed a high expression of LDH-A, as detected with immunofluorescence (inset in the Figure 3C), and were exposed to NHI-1 and NHI-2 for 72 h. Notably, both NHI compounds remarkably increased the disintegration of these
spheroids, which were significantly reduced in size compared with the untreated spheroids (Figure 3C). Concomitant with these changes, treatment with NHI compounds decreased the mRNA expression levels of the CSC markers CD133 and EZH2 (Figure 3F), which were increased in the spheroids compared with the monolayer cultures (data not shown).

NHI compounds inhibit cell migration and downregulate expression of metalloproteinases. To investigate the effects of these NHI-based LDH-A inhibitors on migratory behaviour, we performed a scratch motility assay in PANC-1 and LPC006 cell lines in hypoxic conditions, using concentrations that were insufficient to inhibit cell proliferation in only 24 h. LPC006 showed a significant reduction of migration starting after 8 h (~20% compared with control), as illustrated in Figure 3D. Similarly, the percentages of cellular migration in PANC-1 after 8, 20 and 24 h exposure to both NHI compounds were ~20%, 60%, and 70%, respectively. The cells treated with NHI-1 and NHI-2 showed also significantly less invasive potential (Figure 3E).

The above results led us to hypothesise that LDH-A has a key role in cancer cell migration and invasion. This may be attributed to modulation of metalloproteinases expression. Therefore, we investigated the expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9, showing a significant reduction after treatment with NHI compounds (Figure 3F).

NHI compounds synergistically enhance the antiproliferative activity of gemcitabine. Combination studies showed that both NHI-1 and NHI-2 enhanced the antiproliferative activity of gemcitabine, under normoxia and hypoxia, in both PANC-1 and LPC006 cells. In particular, the IC₅₀ values of gemcitabine were reduced by about fourfold after exposure to NHI-1 in hypoxia in LPC006 cells (Figure 4A). Combination index values for all the combinations and conditions are reported in Table 1. These CIs showed slight-to-moderate synergism in normoxic conditions, and strong synergism under hypoxia, in both PANC-1 and LPC006 cells.

Moreover, these combinations remarkably increased the disintegration of multicellular spheroids, which were significantly reduced in size compared with the spheroids exposed to gemcitabine alone in both our two PDAC cellular models (Figure 4B). Notably, the combinations counteracted the increase of CD133 and EZH2 mRNA levels induced by gemcitabine alone (+57 and 85% in PANC-1, and +39 and 48% in LPC006 cells, respectively), (Figure 4C). In order to evaluate the mechanisms underlying this synergistic interaction, several biochemical analyses were performed, as detailed below.

NHI compounds overcome the reduced synthesis of gemcitabine nucleotides in hypoxia and increase dCK expression through E2F1 modulation. To investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying the reduced activity of gemcitabine in hypoxic conditions, we measured gemcitabine nucleotides in LPC006 cells treated with gemcitabine in hypoxic conditions for 24 h, showing a significantly decreased cellular accumulation compared with cells in normoxic conditions (Figure 4D). These changes in the pools of these gemcitabine nucleotides were associated with a significant decrease in the mRNA expression levels of dCK (Figure 4E). Conversely, no changes were observed for hENT1 expression levels (data not shown). NHI compounds partially increased gemcitabine nucleotides levels, as well as dCK expression. Of note, the combination of gemcitabine with both NHI-1 and NHI-2 determined a significant increase in dCK expression, suggesting its potential role in the synergistic interaction. Finally, we studied the protein levels of E2F1, as reported in the blots in Figure 4E, showing a decrease in hypoxic conditions, whereas the NHI compounds determined a significant enhancement of E2F1 expression.

The combinations of NHI compounds with gemcitabine affect cell cycle and induce apoptosis. As reported in Table 2, perturbation in the cell cycle of PANC-1 and LPC006 cells treated with NHI compounds, gemcitabine and their combination was investigated in normal and hypoxic conditions.

NHI compounds significantly affect cell cycle distribution by decreasing PANC-1 cells in G₁ phase, particularly in hypoxic conditions, whereas gemcitabine did not change cell cycle distribution in hypoxia but increased the percentage of normoxic cells in G₂-M (P < 0.05). The combination significantly decreased the cells in G₁ phase, while increasing the cells in S phase both in normoxia and hypoxia (e.g., from 13.3% in control to 35.5% in cells treated with NHI-1 and gemcitabine, in hypoxic condition). Similar perturbations of cell cycle were observed in the LPC006 cells, suggesting that NHI compounds might overcome the block in the G₁–S boundary induced by hypoxia, while the increase in S phase might favour gemcitabine activity (Supplementary Figure 1A).

Annexin-V analysis demonstrated that drug treatments significantly enhanced cell death and apoptosis compared with the control. LPC006 cells treated with combination exhibited the highest apoptosis induction (Supplementary Figure 1B). Similar results were observed with fluorescence microscopy showing that PDAC cells exposed to the NHI compounds, gemcitabine and their combination presented the typical apoptotic morphology with cell shrinkage, nuclear condensation and fragmentation, and rupture of cells into debris (inset in Figure 4F). In all cell lines, 20–25% of apoptotic cells were observed after gemcitabine treatment, whereas combinations of gemcitabine with NHI compounds in hypoxia was associated with a higher percentage (>40%) of apoptotic cells, as illustrated in Figure 4D for the LPC006 cells. Statistics revealed that drug combination significantly increased the apoptotic index, compared with both control cells and gemcitabine-treated cells. However, treatment of the cells with 4 μM siRNA anti-LDH-A reduced the pro-apoptotic effects of NHI compounds, alone and in combination with gemcitabine (Supplementary Figure 1C).

The combinations of NHI compounds with gemcitabine inhibit cell migration and invasion. LPC006 cells treated with the combinations of NHI compounds and gemcitabine in hypoxic conditions had significantly decreased wound healing, with percentages of cellular migration after 8 h reduced to 30% vs untreated cells (P < 0.05), whereas gemcitabine alone did not affect cell migration. Similar results were observed in the experiments on cell invasion (Supplementary Figure 2). Conversely, treatment of the cells with 4 μM siRNA anti-LDH-A reduced the anti-invasive effects of NHI compounds, alone and in combination with gemcitabine.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study evaluating the pharmacological interaction of novel LDH inhibitors with gemcitabine in pancreatic cancer. These compounds were effective against PDAC cells under hypoxic condition and their combination with gemcitabine was synergistic in PDAC cells under normoxic and hypoxic conditions. This synergistic effect was associated with increase in apoptosis and inhibition of cell migration.

These results are particularly interesting, as PDACs are characterised by a dense desmoplastic stroma and a sparse vascularisation that limit oxygen/nutrients availability. This architecture induces severe hypoxic stress to tumour cells, which become resistant to chemotherapy and are able to increase their invasive and metastatic potential (Brown and Giaccia, 1998; Le et al, 2004). The ability of cancer cells to survive under hypoxic conditions result from their ability to reprogram canonical
biochemical pathways in order to provide sufficient energy (Vasseur et al, 2010; Feig et al, 2012). A recent study by Zhou et al (2011) showed several metabolic changes in proteomes of PDAC cells compared with normal pancreatic duct cells. As a result of these metabolic changes, cancer cells tend to take up more glucose and generate lactate through LDH-A (Metallo et al, 2011).

LDH is an oxidoreductase, which catalyses the conversion of pyruvate to lactate by using a NADH/NAD⁺ cofactor. Human LDH is a tetrameric enzyme that is composed of three different monomeric subunits: LDH-A, LDH-B and LDH-C. The C subunit is only part of homotetrameric enzyme, LDH-C, which has an important role in male fertility (Goldberg, 1985), while the A and B subunits are mainly present in skeleton muscles/liver and heart, respectively (Brooks et al, 1999; Granchi et al, 2011a, b, c). In agreement with our results, showing a parallel modulation in hypoxic conditions, LDH-A upregulation was associated with overexpression of the pleiotropic hypoxic transcription factor HIF-1α (Koukourakis et al, 2006; Kolev et al, 2008). LDH-A is overexpressed in hypoxic carcinomas as well as metastatic cancer cells, and has been correlated to tumour vitality (Goldman et al, 1966; Koukourakis et al, 2005). The lactate produced by tumour cells creates acidic conditions that favour tumour invasion (Swietach et al, 2007), and suppresses anticancer immune effectors (Fischer et al, 2007). Moreover, lactate can be taken up by stromal cells to regenerate pyruvate that either can be extruded to refuel the cancer cell or can be used for OXPHOS (Koukourakis et al, 2006), which ensures the cell’s antioxidant defences against chemotherapeutic agents (Gatenby and Gillies, 2004). This arrangement generates an ecosystem in which cancer and stromal cells use complementary metabolic pathways, recycling products of anaerobic metabolism to sustain cancer cell survival and invasion.

Against this background, LDH-A has been identified as an attractive target for therapies tackling tumour initiation, maintenance and progression through modulation of cancer energy metabolism. Recent studies showed that knockdown of LDH-A by shRNA stimulated mitochondrial oxygen-dependent oxidative phosphorylation, decreased cell proliferation under hypoxia, suppressed tumorigenicity and enhanced chemosensitivity (Zhou et al, 2010; Metallo et al, 2011; Zhou et al, 2011). Furthermore, reduction of LDH-A by siRNA or its inhibition by small-molecule FX11 inhibited the progression of sizable human lymphoma and pancreatic cancer xenografts (Le et al, 2010). The inhibition of
LDH-A can be achieved without influencing the energetic balance of normal tissues (Manerba et al., 2012), and has not been shown to raise major side effects, in agreement with the observation that hereditary LDH-A deficiency does not provoke any symptoms under ordinary circumstances in humans (Kanno et al., 1988).

All these findings suggest that LDH-A is a promising and safe target, and novel LDH inhibitors represent an innovative, selective and also potentially nontoxic strategy of interfering with hypoxic cancer growth. Recently, we developed a new class of N-hydroxyindole-based inhibitors of LDH-A (NHIs), which proved to inhibit the growth of different tumour cells, including PDAC cells, especially in hypoxic conditions (Granchi et al., 2011). The relatively weak inhibition of LDH enzymatic activity compared with the in vitro effects on cells might at least in part be explained by the key role of LDH-A in the metabolism of cancer hypoxic cells. However, further studies on potential off-targets effects of our drugs are warranted.

Furthermore, monotherapy activity is not a realistic expectation for hypoxia-selective agents because hypoxic cells represent only a subpopulation (although a critically important one) in most tumours and that these agents should have peculiar requirements such as long residence time in tumours (to exploit fluctuating hypoxia) or efficient, long-range bystander killing (Wilson and Hay, 2011). On the other hand, inhibition of molecular targets in hypoxic cells should offer a more benign toxicity profile, which is distinctly different from that of cytotoxic therapy, and therefore have greater opportunity for combination with current standards of care. Therefore, the study of compatibility with existing therapy is fundamentally important for the clinical translation of these new drugs, and, to our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating the pharmacological interaction of LDH inhibitors with gemcitabine in PDAC cells.

The expression of LDH-A was detectable in all our PDAC cells, including seven primary tumour cell cultures, in their first passages, where the levels of LDH-A mRNA were comparable to their originator tumours, suggesting that these cells represent optimal preclinical models for our pharmacological studies. Conversely, LDH-A levels were significantly lower in the normal pancreatic ductal cells HPNE, in agreement with earlier data on normal pancreatic specimens (Zhao et al., 2013).

The expression of LDH-A was significantly increased under hypoxic conditions, both at mRNA and protein levels. Moreover, this upregulation of LDH-A expression was associated with increased toxicity, and further studies are still needed to evaluate novel strategies to improve gemcitabine activity against PDAC.

Conversely, hypoxia dramatically affected gemcitabine activity, reducing the synthesis of active gemcitabine deoxynucleotides, possibly also through downregulation of the rate-limiting enzyme dCK.

These results are in agreement with several studies showing that hypoxia compromised the cytotoxic effect of gemcitabine in PDAC cells (Yokoi and Fidler, 2004; Zhang et al., 2005), but other studies reported no significant effects of gemcitabine cytotoxicity in lung cancer cells (Lam et al., 2009; Wouters et al., 2011), suggesting a cell-dependency of this phenomenon.

The combination of oxaliplatin, irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin as well as abraxane plus gemcitabine have better antitumor activity compared with gemcitabine alone (Heinemann et al., 2012). However, these therapeutic strategies are associated with increased toxicity, and further studies are still needed to evaluate novel strategies to improve gemcitabine activity against PDAC.

In the present study we demonstrated that NH compounds synergistically enhanced the activity of gemcitabine in two

---

### Table 2. Effects of NHI compounds, gemcitabine and their combination on cell cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>G0/G1 Phase (%)</th>
<th>S Phase (%)</th>
<th>G2/M Phase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PANC-1 normoxia</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>23.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gemcitabine</td>
<td>43.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>29.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>26.7 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-1</td>
<td>38.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>33.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>27.2 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-1 + Gemcitabine</td>
<td>35.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>34.6 ± 2.1</td>
<td>29.6 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-2</td>
<td>37.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>32.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>30.6 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-2 + Gemcitabine</td>
<td>36.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>33.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>29.8 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANC-1 hypoxia</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>42.4 ± 3.2</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>24.3 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gemcitabine</td>
<td>59.9 ± 4.1</td>
<td>14.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>26.2 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-1</td>
<td>43.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>33.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>22.9 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-1 + Gemcitabine</td>
<td>38.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>35.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>26.3 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-2</td>
<td>40.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>32.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>26.9 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-2 + Gemcitabine</td>
<td>39.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>34.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>26.2 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPC006 normoxia</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>55.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>22.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>21.0 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gemcitabine</td>
<td>38.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>30.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>31.6 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-1</td>
<td>36.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>35.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>28.4 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-1 + Gemcitabine</td>
<td>35.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>34.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>29.6 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-2</td>
<td>37.8 ± 3.2</td>
<td>34.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>28.0 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-2 + Gemcitabine</td>
<td>35.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>34.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>29.6 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPC006 hypoxia</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>63.5 ± 3.3</td>
<td>17.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>19.3 ± 1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gemcitabine</td>
<td>61.1 ± 2.8</td>
<td>18.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>20.4 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-1</td>
<td>43.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>33.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>22.7 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-1 + Gemcitabine</td>
<td>39.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td>36.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>23.5 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-2</td>
<td>44.5 ± 3.1</td>
<td>31.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>23.6 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHI-2 + Gemcitabine</td>
<td>40.9 ± 3.4</td>
<td>35.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>23.6 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: cells were exposed to IC50 values of gemcitabine, 1 (in hypoxia) or 10μM (in normoxia) NH compounds, and their combination, for 72 h.
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representative PDAC models, PANC-1 cells and the primary tumour cell culture LPC006. The synergistic interaction against cell proliferation was associated with a significant increase in apoptosis induction. Prolonged or recurrent tumour hypoxia selects for cancer cells with increased survival signalling and loss of apoptotic potential (Graeber et al, 1996). Therefore, the development of agents that target hypoxic cells and increase apoptosis represents an important approach for the rational design of new effective combinations. Moreover, both NHI-1 and NHI-2 overcome the arrest of the cell cycle in the G1 phase, as observed in hypoxic cells compared with cells growing in normoxia. The increase of gemcitabine activity in the combination with NHI compounds may be the result of this modulation of the cell cycle, with an increased number of cells in the S and G₂-M phase, potentially facilitating the incorporation of gemcitabine metabolite 2’,2’-difluorodeoxycytidine triphosphate into DNA, and apoptosis induction (Elnaggar et al, 2012).

Moreover, our findings show that the synergistic interaction of NHI compounds with gemcitabine is also mediated by other mechanisms, which reduced the aggressiveness of PDAC and enhanced the sensitivity to gemcitabine.

As one of the major hallmarks of PDAC is its early dissemination, and hypoxia promotes invasion and metastasis in PDAC (Salnikov et al, 2002), we evaluated whether NHI compounds might affect PDAC cell migration/invasion. In agreement with previous studies, showing that shRNA or siRNA mediated knockdown of LDH-A, and attenuated MDA-MB-435 and glioma cells migration (Baumann et al, 2009;Arseneault et al, 2013), we observed that inhibition of LDH by NHI compounds and their combination with gemcitabine significantly reduced wound healing. These anti-migratory effects were accompanied by down-regulation of MMPs, as reported previously (Baumann et al, 2009).

Notably, recent data demonstrate that hypoxia is also involved in generating cells with properties of cancer stem cells, which might have a key role in PDAC chemoresistance (Hashimoto et al, 2011). Therefore, we investigated whether NHI compounds affect the volume of PDAC spheroids growing in serum-free stem-cell medium. Gemcitabine only slightly reduced the volume of these spheroids, possibly by affecting some remaining bulk tumour cells, but it increased the expression of the CSC marker CD133. This suggests that exposure to gemcitabine might select a population of more aggressive cells, as observed previously (Avan et al, 2012). Conversely, the NHI compounds were able to effectively deplete the most aggressive subpopulation of PDAC cells, as suggested by the significant reduction of both spheroids and CD133 expression.

Finally, the present study also showed that NHI compounds interfered with dCK, which is a pivotal determinant for the cytotoxic activity of gemcitabine (Elnaggar et al, 2012). In hypoxic conditions we observed a significant reduction of gemcitabine active metabolites and a downregulation of dCK, whereas treatment with NHI compounds was associated with a rescue of their levels, which might at least in part explain the synergistic interaction with gemcitabine. As the promoter of dCK has a binding site for E2F1 (Chen et al, 1995), and a recent study showed that HIF-2α inhibited the expression of the transcription factor dimerisation partner-3, which in turn inhibited E2F1 (Sun et al, 2013), we can hypothesise that the reduced levels of dCK were determined by modulation of E2F1 in hypoxia. Conversely, E2F1 levels were increased after exposure to NHI compounds, potentially favouring both gemcitabine activity and induction of S-phase entry. Indeed E2F1 is one of the main regulators during the G1/S transition. As both E2F and MYC families are important regulators of cell cycle, and LDH is a main target of MYC (Patel et al, 2004), our LDH inhibitors might modulate E2F1 expression through feedback mechanisms that warrant further studies.

In conclusion, NHI inhibitors of LDH-A emerged as very promising anticancer agents, by attacking key mechanisms involved in the proliferation, cell-cycle control, apoptosis, stemness, and migration properties of PDAC cells, especially under hypoxic conditions. Moreover, the favourable modulation of dCK makes these compounds excellent candidates for combination with gemcitabine. These extensive in vitro studies are essential for the judicious selection of the best antihypoxic cell therapies and combinations to be tested in future in vivo tumour models, and may have critical implications for the rational development of innovative regimens including LDH-A inhibitors and gemcitabine to improve the treatment of PDAC.
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